EcoLoc: Toward Universal Location Sensing by Encounter-Based Collaborative Indoor Localization
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ABSTRACT

Indoor localization techniques proposed to date have assumed costly resources in terms of computation, power, or sensing modality for many wearable end-devices in the Internet of Things (IoT). To make localization a universal feature for IoT devices, we propose EcoLoc, an indoor localization system using collaborative version of Conditional Random Fields (CCRF) integrated with our encounter model to generate the most probable locations. We have implemented EcoLoc on the Android tablet and the Broadcom WICED Sense IoT platform with a lower-power MCU, miniature inertial sensors, and Bluetooth Low-Energy (BLE) radio. Experimental results show that while operating without the aid of beacons, compared to the non-collaborative CRF, EcoLoc can shorten the convergence distance by up to 40% on tablet, and up to 50% on the WICED-Sense while incurring an extra current consumption of 15 mA.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The knowledge of location is fundamental to enabling devices in the Internet of Things (IoT) to behave in an intelligent way. While outdoor localization is relatively well understood based on a combination of GPS and cellular tower triangulation, indoor localization remains a challenge especially for many IoT devices [15]. Existing indoor localization techniques can be categorized into reference-based vs. self-referential, respectively [23].

Reference-based techniques use geometric relationship with landmarks to estimate the location by measuring some signal generated or reflected by the landmark such as proximity sensing [9], triangulation [7], and fingerprinting [5]. However, these reference-based localization techniques rely on measuring reference signals, but they can suffer from interference in the physical environment even if the target is not moving. Self-referential localization techniques measure the device’s own displacement relative to its initial position to determine its current location. They are also generally called dead reckoning, and they consist of a sensing part and a computation part. Sensors used in dead reckoning can be inertial sensors, pedometers, or odometers. In this work, we consider inertial sensors, which usually consist of accelerometers, gyroscopes, and possibly magnetometers. One advantage is that all sensors are small, low-power, inexpensive, and self-contained to track the trajectory independent of landmarks. The computation part estimates the location based on the sensor data. Mathematical techniques proposed to date include the Kalman Filter (KF), Hidden Markov Models (HMMs), and Particle Filters (PF). KF is widely used for not only noise removal but also to estimate the location over time [4, 18]. HMMs [14, 17] and PFs [12, 19] are based on the Bayesian probability model to predict the most probable location. However, these models tend to be computationally intensive for many wearable IoT devices such as the Broadcom WICED Sense tag.

This work represents a major step toward enabling location sensing universally by all mobile IoT devices, not just those higher-end ones equipped with costly sensors and processors in terms of size and power consumption. We exploit BLE for proximity sensing with very low power consumption. The main novelty with our work is that upon encounter, the IoT devices exchange their trajectories to enable fast detection of their respective locations on the map, without relying on centralized servers and even in the absence of beacons or landmarks. The reduced computational complexity is enabled by our use of collaborative conditional random fields.

Fig. 1 shows the architecture of EcoLoc. The trajectory generated from sensors and the shared trajectories from the encounter events are merged by our CCRF to estimate the location by running our
work, EcoLoc integrates the encounter events with CRF to enhance weights associated with the particles for sufficient area coverage. The particles are spread on the locations where we are wireless signals such as WiFi. Servers to coordinate devices have also been proposed to estimate beacons or other devices exchanged between known location reference nodes like deployed localization. The location can be estimated through the information also proposed techniques that use shared information to enhance its robustness for indoor localization. In addition, researchers have solution for devices with lower computation capability. Conditional random field (CRF) is considered as an affordable solution for devices with lower computation capability [22]. In our work, EcoLoc integrates the encounter events with CRF to enhance its robustness for indoor localization. In addition, researchers have also proposed techniques that use shared information to enhance localization. The location can be estimated through the information exchanged between known location reference nodes like deployed beacons or other devices [21]. The centralized design that use servers to coordinate devices have also been proposed to estimate the location of devices systematically [8]. However, these techniques involve a third party to manage and process the information for IoT devices and suffer from the constraints of flexibility and real-time response [16].

3 DATA AND SENSING COMPONENTS

EcoLoc relies on inertial sensors and PDR to generate the trajectories which describe the step behavior of pedestrians. In EcoLoc, the BLE RF is used to sense the proximity between users and define the encounter events to enable the sharing of trajectories as well as detecting beacons.

3.1 Pedestrian Dead Reckoning

EcoLoc utilizes PDR to generate the user trajectories which contain the information of user movement, including step detection, heading orientation, and step length. We adopt the peak-and-valley detection method [11] to monitor pedestrian activities. We first define the acceleration change threshold and the time window. If the difference between the maximum and minimum acceleration within the time window is larger than the threshold, a step event is triggered. Meanwhile, the estimation of step length relies on the accelerometer and is influenced by individual factors such as step frequency, walking speed, etc. Since the step-length estimation is outside the scope of this work, we assume the step length is fixed without loss of generality, and a better step-length estimator can be plugged in to improve the accuracy of this work.

The heading orientation can be estimated by the product of gravity and magnetic vector provided by the accelerometer and magnetometer, respectively. In addition, the angular speed provided by gyroscope can also be used to calculate the rotated angle by multiplying the sensor output with the time interval. Considering the accelerometer and magnetometer suffer from the sensor noise, the low-pass filter is applied that the short time variance is eliminated and take the more accurate data over long time period. In contrast, the heading orientation estimated from gyroscope in short time period is more accurate so that the high-pass filter is applied to get the angle change in short time period. Therefore, the noise interference on those inertial sensors can be removed and more accurate data is provided by fusing the sensor data.

3.2 Encounter Model

This work exploits encounter events among multiple devices to enhance the efficiency of indoor localization by enabling sharing of trajectories. EcoLoc utilizes the pairing process to implement the proximity feature based on Bluetooth 4.1, in which the BLE stack supports simultaneously advertising and scanning. During the pairing process, a device, which acts as a scanner, periodically scans the advertising packets sent from other BLE devices, which act as advertisers. The scanner can estimate the distance between itself and the advertiser based on the RSSI. The encounter event is triggered if the packets from advertisers are scanned in certain range. However, due to the use of omnidirectional antenna in most BLE-based systems, it is difficult to determine the direction. Thus, in our system design, the encounter event happens only if the distance between scanner and advertiser is within the step length.
\[
\log_{10} D = \frac{A - \text{RSSI}[\text{dbm}]}{10n} \quad (1)
\]

Eq. (1) is used to measure the distance between two devices. The value of distance is set as the step length, which is the maximum distance between encountered users, and then the RSSI is measured to find the proximity threshold, the minimum RSSI value that two nodes can measure from the received packets within a step length.

We extend the proximity sensing with trajectory exchange for the purpose of enabling collaborative localization. BLE allows data to be piggy-backed onto its advertising payload. This way, it is unnecessary to send the trajectory data in a separate transaction or to go through a connection procedure. However, due to the limited size of the payload, a node sends only a portion of its trajectory instead of the entirety. The original size of the orientation data is 32 bits floating point format. To represent the trajectory in a compact format, we assume that the subject takes one step at a time and can move in only one of eight possible directions (i.e., in 45° increments). This enables us to encode the state transition using only 3 bits using only about 1/10 the original trajectory size. This translates into about 42 steps of trajectory in BLE 4.0 and 4.1, and BLE 4.2 increases the payload size by nearly an order of magnitude.

4 COLLABORATIVE INDOOR LOCALIZATION

In EcoLoc, we utilize CRF to manage the trajectories and propose a real-time algorithm to estimate the location of users.

4.1 Conditional Random Field

CRF can be represented by various feature functions [22] accompanied by weight \( \lambda_i \) formulated as:

\[
p(\mathbf{S}|\mathbf{Z}) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(s_{j-1}, s_j, Z, j) \right) \quad (2)
\]

where \( j \) denotes the position in the observation sequence and \( m \) is the number of feature functions.

CRF is capable of realizing the probability of state transition by defining complex features such that CRF can take context into account in training and testing phase to enhance the accuracy of estimation. The feature functions \( f_i \) represent the constraints provided by the collected observations such as floor map or trajectory. In EcoLoc, CRF consists of feature functions that describe the possibility of location transition by using the corresponding observation. The step detection decides if the CRF estimation is enabled. The heading orientation is used as observation, \( Z_0 \), to define our feature function. We assume the heading orientation is a log-normally distributed random variable so that probability density of the log-normal distribution is leveraged to formulate our feature function as follows:

\[
f_1 = \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma^2_0}} \right) - \frac{(Z_0^t - \theta(S_{t-1}, S_t))^2}{2\sigma^2_0} \quad (3)
\]

where \( \sigma^2_0 \) is the heading variance of observations \( Z_0^t \) and \( \theta(S_{t-1}, S_t) \) is the heading orientation between the last state \( S_{t-1} \) and the state \( S_t \) that we estimate for the current step.

\[
\text{score}(s_x, j) = \text{score}(s_y, j-1) \times \Psi_j(s_x|s_y, Z) \quad (6)
\]

\[
\Psi_j(s_x|s_y, Z) = \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(s_y, s_x, Z, j) \right) \quad (7)
\]

The second feature function is formulated using the RSSI observation. It is optional and is considered only when the beacon signal is available in the indoor environment. Similar to our first feature function, we use the RSSI observation to calculate the distance, \( Z_d^t \), between the user and the beacon \( B_t \) to formulate the feature function as follows:

\[
f_2 = \ln \left( \frac{1}{2\pi \sigma^2_d} \right) - \frac{2\pi \sigma^2_d (D(B_t, S_t))^2}{2\sigma^2_d} \quad (4)
\]

where the \( \sigma^2_d \) is the distance variance of the observations \( Z_d^t \) and \( D(B_t, S_t) \) is the distance between the beacon and the estimated state.

4.2 Collaborative Conditional Random Field

Our CCRF merges the shared trajectory with our own trajectory to improve the convergence distance of localization.

Our CCRF is illustrated in Fig. 2. It extends the current trajectory with the acquired trajectory from the encounter events. Suppose the length of the acquired trajectory is \( k \) steps and our trajectory is \( t \) steps, the merged trajectory may not be used to estimate the current location since the estimated location is at step \( (t + k) \) instead of at step \( t \). Therefore, our CCRF reverses the acquired trajectory and estimate the location at step \( (t + 2k) \). The CCRF can be formulated as follows:

\[
p(\mathbf{S}|\mathbf{Z}) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{t+2k} \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(s_{j-1}, s_j, Z, j) \right) \quad (5)
\]

4.3 Our Real-Time Tracking Algorithm

Algorithm 1 shows our real-time tracking algorithm based on Viterbi’s [6]. It provides real-time update for IoT devices without estimating from scratch.

At every step, each state will get a score that represents the probability of walking to it from other possible states. Given the observation \( Z \) at step \( j \), the score of \( s_x \) transferred from state \( s_y \) is calculated through the following equations:

\[
\text{score}(s_x, j) = \text{score}(s_y, j-1) \times \Psi_j(s_x|s_y, Z) \quad (6)
\]

\[
\Psi_j(s_x|s_y, Z) = \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f_i(s_y, s_x, Z, j) \right) \quad (7)
\]
6 EVALUATION

We evaluate convergence distance, accuracy and algorithm overhead of EcoLoc with other implemented techniques. The experimental results demonstrate the improvement from the collaborative conditional random field.

Algorithm 1: Real-time tracking algorithm

```
function HeuristicRealtime (T, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{S})
begin
    // Calculate the score
    foreach s, \in S do
        score[s] = \max_{s_{\text{valid}}} \left( \frac{\text{score}[s, j - 1] \times \text{\Psi}(s_{\text{valid}}, \tilde{Z})}{\text{\Psi}(s_{\text{valid}}, \tilde{Z})} \right);
        // Estimate the score of states at step j
        path[s, j] \leftarrow \text{arg max} \left( \text{score}[s, j - 1] \times \text{\Psi}(s_{\text{valid}}, \tilde{Z}) \right);
        // Store the path of states at step j
        max_state \leftarrow \text{arg max} \left( \text{score}[s, T] \right); // Find the highest score of state at step T
    for i = 0; i < T; i + + do
        \Xi \leftarrow \text{path}[\text{max_state}, i]; // Output the path
    return \Xi;
```

Suppose there are possible states \( S = \{s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n\} \) and the length of observation is \( T \). The sequence of the highest score at each step is the trajectory we want. Compared to the exhaustive Viterbi search, the time complexity of our tracking algorithm is \( O(|S|^2 T) \) instead of \( O(|S|^T) \). Furthermore, because only eight states per transition are possible, the time complexity per step is optimized to \( O(|S| \times 8) = O(|S|) \).

Our algorithm works as follows. First, each state is assigned a score, which is the highest score among eight calculated results, by using the observation and the score of neighbor states at the previous step (Lines 3-5). The current location is then determined as the state with the highest score (Line 6) and the trajectory is generated as well (Line 8).

5 EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

To show the applicability of our proposed technique to a wide range of hardware, we implemented our proposed technique on two platforms: a tablet and a sensor tag. The tablet we use is the Nexus 9, which contains inertial sensors (accelerometer, gyroscope, and magnetometer) as most tablets do and runs Android 6 Marshmallow OS with Bluetooth 4.1 (dual-mode) support. On the lower end, we use the Broadcom WICED Sense Bluetooth Smart Sensor Tag [3] to validate that EcoLoc is applicable for resource-limited IoT devices.

Several evaluation points in Fig. 3 are decided on the floor plan, and the untrained participants can choose any route they like to reach the evaluation points. The tablet-based devices are tested in two different places, but the sensor tags are tested only with the smaller floor plan due to the buffer limitation on physical memory for storing the map information. We also implemented a number of previous techniques for the purpose of comparison with our proposed CCRF. Table 1 shows the technologies used in the experiments.

6.1 Convergence Distance

The convergence distance we present here is the displacement required in the estimation to provide a location within a 5-meter radius from the ground truth. A short convergence distance means EcoLoc can operate either without beacons or can operate well while requiring much lower density of deployed beacons.

The experimental results on the tablet conducted on the 1st and 5th floor of the campus Building are shown in Fig. 5. We compare the results of implemented techniques listed in Table 1. The convergence distances on 5th floor of all four localization techniques are less than 50 meters, which are all shorter than the results on 1st floor, because the trajectory on the 5th floor are more constrained by the floor plan by a turn in the corner. In addition, both CRF and CCRF have shorter convergence distances than PF and CPF do. This is because CRF captures the constraints and is able to provide the most probable location immediately based on the pre-built states; in contrast, PF iteratively generates the new particles to explore the possible locations. In summary, the CRF-based systems need shorter convergence distance than the PF-based systems. Meanwhile, the encounter mechanism significantly help CRF-based localization to reduce the convergence distance.

6.2 Accuracy

We quantify accuracy by measuring how close to the ground truth location each technique can estimate. Our experiments are conducted in different indoor environments with multiple participants to evaluate the accuracy of CRF-based and PF-based localization.

The results we provided for IoT device are shown in Fig. 6. The results provided by CCRF and CRF are close, where the error difference is within one step length. The accuracy of CCRF is sometimes worse than CRF, primarily due to the noisy sensor data. The complex computation is required to filter the noise out of sensor data for DR. However, the error cannot be removed by the exchanged trajectories. In addition, the RSSI from the encounter may be unstable, which makes it difficult to estimate the actual distance accurately. Another source of error is the assumption of constant step length,
but in reality the step length is not constant and can vary among participants.

Fig. 7 compares the average accuracy of these localization techniques on the tablet on the 1st and 5th floor of the campus Building. Overall, the CCRF and CRF can provide the same accurate results. The CRF-based systems are also more accurate than the PF-based systems since the map is preprocessed and divided into several states which provide extra constraints for CRF-based systems.

6.3 Algorithm Overhead

The overhead is evaluated by measuring the power consumption of our implementation since the APIs for timing measurement are not open source and we are unable to hook up system software. Fig. 8 provides the power consumption comparison of enabling and disabling the encounter event feature. Once the system becomes
we propose EcoLoc, which requires only low-end processing capabilities and low-power inertial sensors. It exploits sharing of trajectories between devices upon encounter as a way to estimate the location on the map while requiring up to 50% shorter convergence distance than previous techniques. The computation effort is also reduced significantly, thanks to the use of our CCRF model.

7 CONCLUSIONS

To make location knowledge a universal right of all IoT devices, we propose EcoLoc. Although the CPF can execute the process within 20 ms, which is much lower than CCRF (215.44 ms), it sacrifices the accuracy and convergence distance that we evaluate in the previous sections to acquire the lower time complexity.

The use of BLE makes it practical because it uses the existing RF interface for not only communication but also proximity sensing and encounter exchange. The overhead we measured on IoT devices in terms of current consumption is 15 mA.
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